

Point-countable bases and k -networks

L. Foged

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at El Paso, TX 79968, USA

Received 4 May 1990; revised 21 June 1993, 18 October 1995

Abstract

We present a space with a point-countable base but no point-countable closed k -network.

Keywords: Quotient s -map; k -network; Point-countable base

AMS classification: Primary 54E30, Secondary 54C10

Intrinsic characterizations of topological spaces which are the images of metric spaces under quotient maps with separable fibers—that is, quotient s -images of metric spaces—have been given by Hoshina [5] and by Gruenhage, Michael and Tanaka [3]. Both of these conditions are easily seen to hold in k -spaces with a point-countable k -network of closed sets, and we wondered whether this condition might also characterize quotient s -images of metric spaces. But here we present a completely regular space with a uniform base, hence an open-compact image of a metric space [1], which admits no point-countable k -network of closed sets. This answers a question raised in [3]. On the other hand, a regular first-countable space with a point-countable k -network of closed sets has a point-countable base [2].

1. Definition of the space X

Write $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \mathbb{P}$, where \mathbb{Q} is the set of rational numbers and \mathbb{P} is the set of irrational numbers. Let

$$G = \mathbb{R} \times \omega \cup \mathbb{P} \cup \{\theta\}.$$

Points in our space X are certain functions from $\omega \times \omega$ to G . Endow $\omega \times \omega$ with the (well-ordered) lexicographical ordering \leq . Points of X are of type I or type II defined as follows.

Type I points := the functions from $\omega \times \omega$ to \mathbb{P} .

Type II points := the functions x satisfying the following conditions.

(1) There are $i(x) < \omega$ and $0 < j(x) < \omega$ so that $x(i, j) \in \mathbb{P} \times \omega$ if $(i, j) \leq (i(x), j(x))$. Define $\beta(x(i, j))$ and $\nu(x(i, j))$ by $x(i, j) = (\beta(x(i, j)), \nu(x(i, j)))$ for $(i, j) \leq (i(x), j(x))$.

(2) For $i < i(x)$, there is a $0 < j(x, i) < \omega$ so that $\nu(x(i, j(x, i))) < \nu(x(i, j))$ for all $j \neq j(x, i)$. For $j_1 \leq j_2 < \omega$ with $j(x, i) \notin \{j_1, j_2\}$, $\nu(x(i, j_1)) \leq \nu(x(i, j_2))$.

(3) For $i = i(x)$, there is a $0 < j(x, i) \leq j(x)$ so that $\nu(x(i, j(x, i))) < \nu(x(i, j))$ for all $j \leq j(x)$ with $j \neq j(x, i)$. For $j_1 \leq j_2 \leq j(x)$ with $j(x, i) \notin \{j_1, j_2\}$, $\nu(x(i, j_1)) \leq \nu(x(i, j_2))$.

(4) For $j > j(x)$, $x(i(x), j) = \theta$.

(5) For $i > i(x)$, there is a unique $0 < j(x, i) < \omega$ so that $x(i, j(x, i)) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \{0, 1, \dots, j(x)\}$. Define $\beta(x(i, j(x, i)))$ and $\nu(x(i, j(x, i)))$ by $x(i, j(x, i)) = (\beta(x(i, j(x, i))), \nu(x(i, j(x, i))))$.

(6) For $i > i(x)$ and $j \neq j(x, i)$, $x(i, j) = \theta$.

(7) There is an $M(x) < \omega$ so that $\nu(x(i, j)) \leq M(x)$ for all $(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega$ for which $\nu(x(i, j))$ is defined.

We give G a non-Hausdorff topology by providing a countable neighborhood base at each point as follows. For $m < \omega$

$$U((q, k), m) = \left\{ (r, k) : r \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |r - q| < \frac{1}{m+1} \right\}, \quad \text{if } q \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } k < \omega;$$

$$U((p, k), m) = \{(p, k)\}, \quad \text{if } p \in \mathbb{P} \text{ and } k < \omega;$$

$$U(p, m) = \{p\} \cup \{(p, k) : m \leq k < \omega\}, \quad \text{if } p \in \mathbb{P};$$

$$U(\theta, m) = \{\theta\} \cup \{(r, k) : r \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } m \leq k < \omega\}.$$

We now topologize X by giving a countable neighborhood base at each point as follows. For $x \in X$ and $m, n < \omega$

$$V(x, m, n) = \{y \in X : y(i, j) \in U(x(i, j), m) \text{ for all } i \leq n \text{ and } j < \omega\},$$

$$V(x, n) = V(x, n, n).$$

It is easy to see that the space X is Hausdorff and has both a σ -disjoint base and a development. Once we show that X is regular it will follow from [4] that X has a uniform base.

In fact, X is zero-dimensional. Indeed, it is easy to see that type II points have neighborhood bases of clopen sets. We show that type I points do too.

For a type I point x and $0 < n < \omega$, let

$$W(x, n) = V(x, n) \cup \bigcup \{V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))), n+1) : y \text{ is a type II point with } i(y) = n, \beta(y(i, j)) = x(i, j) \text{ and } \nu(y(i, j)) \geq n \text{ for all } (i, j) \leq (n, j(y))\}.$$

We use the following lemmata to show that the $W(x, n)$'s are clopen and form a local base at x .

Lemma. $W(x, n)$ is open.

Lemma. $W(x, n) \subset V(x, n - 1)$.

Lemma. $\overline{W(x, n)} \subset V(x, n - 1)$.

Proof. If $z \in \overline{W(x, n)} \setminus V(x, n - 1)$, then $z \in \overline{V(x, n - 1)} \setminus V(x, n - 1)$, hence z is a type II point with $i(z) = n - 1$. Find $m < \omega$ such that

- (a) $m > \nu(z(n, j(z, n)))$,
- (b) $m \geq n$, and
- (c) $|x(n, j(z, n)) - \beta(z(n, j(z, n)))| \geq 1/(m + 1)$.

Now (b) and (c) give $V(z, m) \cap V(x, n) = \emptyset$, so $V(z, m) \cap W(x, n) \neq \emptyset$ implies there is a type II point y with $i(y) = n$ and $\beta(y(i, j)) = x(i, j)$ and $\nu(y(i, j)) \geq n$ for all $(i, j) \leq (n, j(y))$, and a point

$$t \in V(z, m) \cap V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n + 1, j(y, n + 1))), n + 1).$$

As $t \in V(y, 0, n + 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(t(n, j(t, n))) &= \beta(t(n, j(y, n))) = \beta(y(n, j(y, n))) \\ &= x(n, j(y, n)) = x(n, j(t, n)). \end{aligned}$$

But $t \in V(z, m)$ and (a) imply $j(t, n) = j(z, n)$; thus

$$|\beta(t(n, j(t, n))) - \beta(z(n, j(z, n)))| < \frac{1}{m + 1},$$

and, by (c),

$$\beta(t(n, j(t, n))) \neq x(n, j(z, n)) = x(n, j(t, n)).$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma. $\overline{W(x, n)} \setminus W(x, n)$ contains no type I points.

Proof. If z is a type I point in $\overline{W(x, n)} \setminus W(x, n)$, let j^* be the least ordinal for which $z(n, j^*) \neq x(n, j^*)$. Pick a $t \in V(z, j^*, n + 1) \cap W(x, n)$. Then there is a type II point y with $i(y) = n$ and $\beta(y(i, j)) = x(i, j)$ and $\nu(y(i, j)) \geq n$ for all $(i, j) \leq (n, j(y))$ so that

$$t \in V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n + 1, j(y, n + 1))), n + 1).$$

Now $V(z, 0, n + 1) \cap V(y, 0, n + 1) = \emptyset$ if $j^* \leq j(y)$, so $j^* > j(y) \geq \nu(y(n + 1, j(y, n + 1)))$. We have $t \in V(z, j^*, n + 1)$, which implies $\nu(t(n + 1, j(y, n + 1))) \geq j^*$, while $t \in V(y, 0, n + 1)$ implies

$$\nu(t(n + 1, j(y, n + 1))) = \nu(y(n + 1, j(y, n + 1))) < j^*.$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma. $\overline{W(x, n)} \setminus W(x, n)$ contains no type II points.

Proof. If z is a type II point with $z \in \overline{V(x, n)} \setminus V(x, n)$, then $i(z) = n$ and $\beta(z(i, j)) = x(i, j)$ and $\nu(z(i, j)) \geq n$ for all $(i, j) \leq (n, j(z))$; hence $z \in W(x, n)$. We now consider a type II point z in the closure of

$$\bigcup \{V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))), n+1) : y \text{ is a type II point with } i(y) = n \text{ and } \beta(y(i, j)) = x(i, j) \text{ and } \nu(y(i, j)) \geq n \text{ for all } (i, j) \leq (n, j(y))\}.$$

We consider three cases.

In case $i(z) > n+1$, there is a type II point y with $i(y) = n$ and $\beta(y(i, j)) = x(i, j)$ and $\nu(y(i, j)) \geq n$ for all $(i, j) \leq (n, j(y))$ so that

$$V(z, 0, n+1) \cap V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))), n+1) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then $z \in V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))), n+1)$.

In case $i(z) = n+1$, there is a type II point y with $i(y) = n$ and $\beta(y(i, j)) = x(i, j)$ and $\nu(y(i, j)) \geq n$ for all $(i, j) \leq (n, j(y))$ and a point

$$t \in V(z, 0, n+1) \cap V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))), n+1).$$

Since $t \in V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))), n+1)$, we have $j(y, n+1) = j(t, n+1) = j(z, n+1) \leq j(z)$. It follows that $z \in V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))), n+1)$.

In case $i(z) = n$, there is a type II point y with $i(y) = n$ and $\beta(y(i, j)) = x(i, j)$ and $\nu(y(i, j)) \geq n$ for all $(i, j) \leq (n, j(y))$ and a point

$$t \in V(z, 1 + \nu(z(n+1, j(z, n+1))), n+1) \\ \cap V(y, 1 + \nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))), n+1).$$

Since $t \in V(z, 0, n) \cap V(y, 0, n)$, if $j(z) \leq j(y)$ we get $\beta(z(i, j)) = x(i, j)$ and $\nu(z(i, j)) \geq n$ for all $(i, j) \leq (n, j(z))$; thus $z \in W(x, n)$. If $j(y) \leq j(z)$, then since $j(y, n+1) = j(t, n+1) = j(z, n+1)$ and

$$\nu(y(n+1, j(y, n+1))) = \nu(t(n+1, j(t, n+1))) = \nu(z(n+1, j(z, n+1))),$$

defining y^* from $\omega \times \omega$ to G by

$$y^*(i, j) = \begin{cases} z(i, j) & \text{if } (i, j) = (n+1, j(y, n+1)); \\ y(i, j) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

gives a type II point y^* so that $z \in V(y^*, 1 + \nu(y^*(n+1, j(y^*, n+1))), n+1) \subset W(x, n)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

2. X admits no point-countable closed k -network

Let us introduce some definitions and notation. Given a set D we will say Δ is an ordered partition of D if Δ is a finite sequence $\langle S(0), S(1), \dots, S(A-1) \rangle$ of subsets of

D so that $\{S(a): a < A\}$ is a partition of D . We will say that a function f from D to ω is *increasing on Δ* if f is constant on each $S(a)$, and $f[S(a)] \leq f[S(b)]$ if $a \leq b < A$. We identify f with the sequence $\langle f[S(0)], f[S(1)], \dots, f[S(A-1)] \rangle$ in ω .

Proposition 1. *Let $\Delta = \langle S(0), S(1), \dots, S(A-1) \rangle$ be an ordered partition of a countably infinite set D with $A \geq 2$, and let F be the set of all functions from D to ω which are increasing on Δ . Suppose we can write $F = \bigcup \{F(b, A): b \in B\}$ with $0 < |B| < \omega$. For $b \in B$ and $0 < a \leq A-1$, set*

$$F(b, a) = \{ \langle k(0), k(1), \dots, k(a-1) \rangle : \text{there are infinitely many } k(a) < \omega \text{ so} \\ \text{that } \langle k(0), k(1), \dots, k(a-1), k(a) \rangle \in F(b, a+1) \}.$$

Then there is a $b \in B$ so that $F(b, 1)$ is infinite.

Proof. If not, pick $k^*(0) > \max(\bigcup \{F(b, 1): b \in B\})$. For $0 < a < A$ inductively choose

$$k^*(a) > \max \left(\{k^*(a-1)\} \right. \\ \left. \cup \{k(a): \langle k^*(0), \dots, k^*(a-1), k(a) \rangle \in \bigcup \{F(b, a+1): b \in B\} \right).$$

Note that $\langle k^*(0), k^*(1), \dots, k^*(A-1) \rangle$ is an increasing sequence not in F . \square

Suppose $0 < n < \omega$ and for $0 < i \leq n$ we have $n < t(i) < s(i) < r(i) < \omega$. For

$$D = \{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega: (0, n) < (i, j) \leq (n+1, 0)\} \setminus \{(i, t(i)): 0 < i \leq n\}$$

(where $\omega \times \omega$ is lexicographically ordered) we define an ordered partition

$$\Delta(t(n), t(n-1), \dots, t(1), s(1), r(1), s(2), r(2), \dots, s(n), r(n))$$

of D by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(t(n), t(n-1), \dots, t(1), s(1), r(1), s(2), r(2), \dots, s(n), r(n)) \\ &= \left\langle \{(0, j): n < j < \omega\}, \{(1, j): j < t(1)\}, \right. \\ & \quad \{(1, j): t(1) < j \leq s(1)\}, \{(1, s(1)+1)\}, \{(1, s(1)+2)\}, \\ & \quad \dots, \{(1, r(1))\}, \{(1, j): r(1) < j < \omega\}, \{(2, j): j < t(2)\}, \\ & \quad \{(2, j): t(2) < j \leq s(2)\}, \{(2, s(2)+1)\}, \{(2, s(2)+2)\}, \\ & \quad \dots, \{(2, r(2))\}, \{(2, j): r(2) < j < \omega\}, \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad \{(n, j): j < t(n)\}, \{(n, j): t(n) < j \leq s(n)\}, \{(n, s(n)+1)\}, \\ & \quad \{(n, s(n)+2)\}, \dots, \{(n, r(n))\}, \\ & \quad \left. \{(i, j): (n, r(n)) < (i, j) \leq (n+1, 0)\} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The object of this section is to prove that our space X has no point-countable k -network of closed sets.

Definition. A collection \mathcal{R} of subsets of a topological space Y is a k -network for Y if for each compact set K and open subset $U \supset K$, there is a finite $\mathcal{R}^* \subset \mathcal{R}$ so that $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}^* \subset U$.

Proposition 2 [6,3]. *If Y is a first-countable space with a point-countable k -network \mathcal{R} of closed sets, U is an open subset of Y , and $y \in U$, then there is a finite $\mathcal{R}^* \subset \mathcal{R}$ so that $y \in \text{int}(\bigcup \mathcal{R}^*) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}^* \subset U$.*

We begin the proof that our space X has no point-countable k -network \mathcal{R} by choosing a fixed type I point x^* in X and letting $U = V(x^*, 0)$. Proposition 2 would imply that for every type I point $x' \in V(x^*, 0)$ there is an $n(x')$ and a finite $\mathcal{R}^* \subset \mathcal{R}$ so that

$$V(x', n(x')) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}^* \subset V(x^*, 0).$$

We will show that this does not hold.

We need a bit of machinery. Suppose $0 < n < \omega$ and suppose x is a function from $(n+1) \times \omega$ to \mathbb{P} which can be extended to a function x' from $\omega \times \omega$ to \mathbb{P} (i.e., to a type I point x') so that there is a finite subset $\mathcal{R}^*(x) = \{R(b) : b \in B(x)\}$ of \mathcal{R} so that

$$V(x', n) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}^*(x) \subset V(x^*, 0).$$

Let Σ denote the set of all integer-valued finite sequences σ of length at least 0, but not more than $3n$, which can be extended to a sequence

$$\langle t(n), t(n-1), \dots, t(1), s(1), r(1), s(2), r(2), \dots, s(n), r(n) \rangle$$

with $n < t(i) < s(i) < r(i)$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. We pick an $R^*(\sigma)(x) \in \mathcal{R}^*(x)$ for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ as follows.

We begin with the sequences of length $3n$. For

$$\sigma = \langle t(n), t(n-1), \dots, t(1), s(1), r(1), s(2), r(2), \dots, s(n), r(n) \rangle$$

consider the ordered partition

$$\Delta = \Delta(t(n), t(n-1), \dots, t(1), s(1), r(1), s(2), r(2), \dots, s(n), r(n))$$

of

$$D = \{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega : (0, n) < (i, j) \leq (n+1, 0)\} \setminus \{(i, t(i)) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

For a given $l < \omega$ and a function f from D to $\{m: n < m < \omega\}$ which is increasing on Δ , we consider a type II point $y(l, f)(x) \in V(x', n)$ described by

$$y(l, f)(x)(i, j) = \begin{cases} (x^*(i, j), n+1) & \text{if } i = 0, j < n; \\ (x^*(i, j), n) & \text{if } i = 0, j = n; \\ (x(i, j), n) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } j = t(i); \\ (x(i, j), f(i, j)) & \text{if } (i, j) \in D \setminus \{(n+1, 0)\}; \\ (e/l, f(i, j)) & \text{if } i = n+1 \text{ and } j = 0; \\ (e/l, 0) & \text{if } i = n+1 \text{ and } j = 1; \\ \theta & \text{if } i = n+1 \text{ and } j > 1; \\ (0, 0) & \text{if } i > n+1 \text{ and } j = 1; \\ \theta & \text{if } i > n+1 \text{ and } j \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

(Here e is a particular fixed irrational number.)

Now each $y(l, f)(x) \in R(b)$ for some $b \in B(x)$. Let

$$A = |\Delta| \left(= 3n+1 + \sum_{i=1}^n r(i) - \sum_{i=1}^n s(i) \right)$$

and, for each $b \in B(x)$, let $F(b, A)(x)$ be the set of functions f from D to $\{m: n < m < \omega\}$ which are increasing on Δ and have $y(l, f)(x) \in R(b)$ for infinitely many $l < \omega$. Adopting the notation of Proposition 1, we select $R^*(\sigma)(x) = R(b)$ for a $b \in B(x)$ so that $F(b, 1)(x)$ is infinite.

We now recursively select $R^*(\sigma)(x) \in \mathcal{R}^*(x)$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ of length less than $3n$ so that $R^*(\sigma)(x) = R^*(\widehat{\sigma j})(x)$ for infinitely many $j < \omega$ so that $\widehat{\sigma j} \in \Sigma$. This completes the selection of the $R^*(\sigma)(x)$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Set $R^*(x) = R^*(\phi)(x)$, where ϕ is the sequence of length 0.

We select some particular members of Σ as follows. Choose

$$\langle t(n, 0)(x), t(n-1, 0)(x), \dots, t(1, 0)(x), s(1, 0)(x), r(1, 0)(x), s(2, 0)(x), \\ r(2, 0)(x), \dots, s(n, 0)(x), r(n, 0)(x) \rangle$$

in Σ of length $3n$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(x) &= R^*(\langle t(n, 0)(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n, 0)(x), t(n-1, 0)(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n, 0)(x), t(n-1, 0)(x), t(n-2, 0)(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &\vdots \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n, 0)(x), t(n-1, 0)(x), \dots, s(n, 0)(x), r(n, 0)(x) \rangle)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now choose

$$\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1, 1)(x), t(n-2, 1)(x), \dots, s(1, 1)(x), r(1, 1)(x), \\ s(2, 1)(x), r(2, 1)(x), \dots, s(n-1, 1)(x), r(n-1, 1)(x) \rangle$$

in Σ of length $3n-2$ so that $t(n)^*(x) > s(n, 0)(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(x) &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1, 1)(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1, 1)(x), t(n-2, 1)(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &\vdots \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1, 1)(x), \dots, s(n-1, 1)(x), r(n-1, 1)(x) \rangle)(x). \end{aligned}$$

For $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, inductively choose

$$\begin{aligned} &t(n-k+1)^*(x), t(n-k, k)(x), t(n-k-1, k)(x), \\ &\dots, t(1, k)(x), s(1, k)(x), r(1, k)(x), s(2, k)(x), r(2, k)(x), \\ &\dots, s(n-k, k)(x), r(n-k, k)(x) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1)^*(x), \dots, t(n-k+1)^*(x), t(n-k, k)(x), t(n-k-1, k)(x), \\ &\dots, t(1, k)(x), s(1, k)(x), r(1, k)(x), s(2, k)(x), r(2, k)(x), \\ &\dots, s(n-k, k)(x), r(n-k, k)(x) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is a member of Σ of length $3n-2k$ and $t(n-k+1)^*(x) > s(n-k+1, k-1)(x)$ and so that

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(x) &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1)^*(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1)^*(x), t(n-2)^*(x) \rangle)(x) \\ &\vdots \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1)^*(x), \dots, s(n-k, k)(x), r(n-k, k)(x) \rangle)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $R^*(x) = R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x), t(n-1)^*(x), \dots, t(1)^*(x) \rangle)(x)$.

This completes the construction of our machinery for fixed n with $0 < n < \omega$ and fixed function x from $(n+1) \times \omega$ to \mathbb{P} which can be extended to a type I point x' so that there is a finite subset $\mathcal{R}^*(x)$ of \mathcal{R} so that $V(x', u) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}^*(x) \subset V(x^*, 0)$.

Now if $0 < n < \omega$ and $\tau = \langle \tau(1), \tau(2), \dots, \tau(n) \rangle$ is a n -length finite sequence of integers with $\tau(i) > n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$; if $1 \leq m < n$; and if $R \in \mathcal{R}$; then define:

$$\begin{aligned} H(\tau) &= \{x: x \text{ is a function from } (n+1) \times \omega \text{ to } \mathbb{P} \text{ so that } x \text{ can be extended} \\ &\quad \text{to a type I point } x' \text{ for which there is a finite subset } \mathcal{R}^* \text{ of } \mathcal{R} \\ &\quad \text{so that } V(x', n) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}^* \subset V(x^*, 0) \text{ and so that} \\ &\quad \langle t(1)^*(x), t(2)^*(x), \dots, t(n)^*(x) \rangle = \tau\}. \end{aligned}$$

$H(n, \tau) = \{z: z \text{ is a function from } \{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega: (i, j) < (n, \tau(n))\} \text{ to } \mathbb{P}$
 for which there is a second category set of $p \in \mathbb{P}$ so that
 \widehat{zp} can be extended to a member of $H(\tau)\}$.

$H(m, \tau) = \{z: z \text{ is a function from } \{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega: (i, j) < (m, \tau(m))\} \text{ to } \mathbb{P}$
 for which there is a second category set of $p \in \mathbb{P}$ so that
 \widehat{zp} can be extended to a member of $H(m+1, \tau)\}$.

$H(\tau, R) = \{x \in H(\tau): R^*(x) = R\}$.

$H(n, \tau, R) = \{z \in H(n, \tau): \text{There is a second category set of } p \in \mathbb{P} \text{ so that}$
 $\widehat{zp} \text{ can be extended to a member of } H(\tau, R)\}$.

$H(m, \tau, R) = \{z \in H(m, \tau): \text{There is a second category set of } p \in \mathbb{P} \text{ so that}$
 $\widehat{zp} \text{ can be extended to a member of } H(m+1, \tau, R)\}$.

If z is a function from $\{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega: (i, j) < (I, J)\}$ to \mathbb{P} and $p \in \mathbb{P}$, then by \widehat{zp} we mean the function from $\{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega: (i, j) \leq (I, J)\}$ to \mathbb{P} given by

$$\widehat{zp}(i, j) = \begin{cases} z(i, j) & \text{if } (i, j) < (I, J), \\ p & \text{if } (i, j) = (I, J). \end{cases}$$

With our notation in place we now turn to the proof that X admits no point-countable k -network of closed sets. The heart of the matter is contained in the next two lemmata and the proof is assembled in Proposition 3.

Lemma. *If $1 \leq m \leq n < \omega$ and $\tau = \langle \tau(1), \tau(2), \dots, \tau(n) \rangle$ is an n -length sequence of integers with $\tau(i) > n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $H(m, \tau) = \bigcup \{H(m, \tau, R): R \in \mathcal{R}\}$.*

Proof. First we consider $m = n$. If $z \in H(n, \tau)$, then there is a second category set C of $p \in \mathbb{P}$ so that \widehat{zp} can be extended to a $x(p) \in H(\tau)$. For each such $x(p)$,

$$R^*(x(p)) = R^*(\langle t(n, 0)(x(p)), t(n-1, 0)(x(p)), \dots, s(n, 0)(x(p)) \rangle)(x(p)).$$

Find $r_n(x(p)) \geq \tau(n) = t(n)^*(x(p)) > s(n, 0)(x(p))$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(\langle t(n, 0)(x(p)), t(n-1, 0)(x(p)), \dots, s(n, 0)(x(p)), r_n(x(p)) \rangle)(x(p)) \\ = R^*(x(p)). \end{aligned}$$

Since there are only countably many possibilities for the sequence $\langle t(n, 0)(x(p)), t(n-1, 0)(x(p)), \dots, s(n, 0)(x(p)), r_n(x(p)) \rangle$ for the $p \in C$, we may assume they coincide for all $p \in C$.

For $p \in C$, let us index the ordered partition

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(t(n, 0)(x(p)), t(n-1, 0)(x(p)), \dots, s(n, 0)(x(p)), r_n(x(p))) \\ = \{S(a): a < A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\{(n, \tau(n))\} = S(a_0)$ for some a_0 with $1 < a_0 < A$.

Recall that for each $p \in C$, $R^*(x(p)) = R(b)$ for some $b \in B(x(p))$ for which $F(b, 1)(x(p))$ is infinite. Thus $F(b, a_0)(x(p))$ is infinite and we may select a function $f(x(p)) \in F(b, a_0)(x(p))$. For each $k < \omega$, we may extend $f(x(p))$ to a function $f_k(x(p)) \in F(b, A)(x(p))$ so that $f_k(x(p))$ is increasing on $\{S(a): a < A\}$ and $f_k(x(p))[S(a)] \geq k$ for all a with $a_0 \leq a < A$. Since there are but countably many possibilities for the $f(x(p))$, we may assume they coincide for all $p \in C$ and write $f(z)$ for $f(x(p))$.

The type II point described by

$$c(i, j) = \begin{cases} (x^*(i, j), n+1) & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } j < n, \\ (x^*(i, j), n) & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } j = n, \\ (z(i, j), n) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } j = t(i, 0), \\ \theta & \text{if } i = n \text{ and } j \geq \tau(n), \\ \theta & \text{if } i \geq n+1 \text{ and } j \neq 1, \\ (0, 0) & \text{if } i \geq n+1 \text{ and } j = 1, \\ (z(i, j), [f(z)](i, j)) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

is in $\text{cl}(R^*(x(p)))$ for each $p \in C$. Indeed, if $k < \omega$ find $l(k) > k$ so that $y(l(k), f_k(x(p)))(x(p)) \in R^*(x(p))$ and note that the sequence $\{y(l(k), f_k(x(p)))(x(p)) : k < \omega\}$ converges to c . \mathcal{R} being a point-countable collection of closed sets, there is an $R^*(z) \in \mathcal{R}$ and a second category set of $p \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $R^*(x(p)) = R^*(z)$. That is, $z \in H(n, \tau, R^*(z))$, and hence the lemma holds if $m = n$.

Now let us suppose $1 \leq m < n$, and assume that the lemma holds for $m+1, m+2, \dots, n$. Let $z \in H(m, \tau)$. There is a second category set of $p_m \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $\overline{zp_m}$ can be extended to a $z_{m+1}(p_m) \in H(m+1, \tau, R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m)))$. For each such p_m there is a second category set of $p_{m+1} \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $\overline{z_{m+1}(p_m)p_{m+1}}$ can be extended to a $z_{m+2}(p_m, p_{m+1}) \in H(m+2, \tau, R^*(z_{m+2}(p_m, p_{m+1})))$. Continuing, we eventually get that for each such $p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-1}$, there is a second category set of $p_n \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $\overline{z_n(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-1})p_n}$ can be extended to an $x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n) \in H(\tau, R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m)))$. For each $x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m)) &= R^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n, 0)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), t(n-1, 0)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ &\quad \dots, s(n, 0)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ &\quad r(n, 0)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) \rangle)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Find an $r_m(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) \geq \tau(m) = t(m)^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) > s(m, n - m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$ so that

$$R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), t(n-1)^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \dots, \\ t(m+1)^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), t(m, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \dots, \\ t(1, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), s(1, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ r(1, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \dots, s(m, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ r_m(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) \rangle)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$$

is equal to $R^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$. Then find integers

$$s_{m+1}(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), r_{m+1}(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ s_{m+2}(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), r_{m+2}(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ \dots, s_n(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), r_n(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$$

so that

$$R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), t(n-1)^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \dots, \\ t(m+1)^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), t(m, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \dots, \\ t(1, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), s(1, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ r(1, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \dots, s(m, n-m)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ r_m(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), s_{m+1}(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ r_{m+1}(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \dots, s_n(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \\ r_n(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) \rangle)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$$

is equal to $R^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$. By rechoosing our second category sets above we may assume that the $3n$ -length sequence

$$\langle t(n)^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)), \dots, r_n(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) \rangle$$

just constructed is identical for each $\langle p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n \rangle$, and call it σ .

Let us index the ordered partition $\Delta(\sigma) = \{S(a): a < A\}$. There is an a_0 with $1 < a_0 < A$ so that $\{(m, \tau(m))\} = S(a_0)$.

As $R^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) = R(b)$ for some $b \in B(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$ for which $F(b, 1)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$ is infinite, we have that $F(b, a_0)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$ is infinite. Let us select a function

$$f(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) \in F(b, a_0)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)).$$

For each $k < \omega$ we may extend $f(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$ to a function

$$f_k(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)) \in F(b, A)(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$$

so that $f_k(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$ is increasing on $\{S(a): a < A\} = \Delta(\sigma)$ and $f_k(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))[S(a)] \geq k$ for all a with $a_0 \leq a < A$. Since there are but countably many possibilities for $f(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$, we may assume they coincide for all $\langle p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n \rangle$ and write $f(z)$ for $f(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n))$.

For each fixed $\langle p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-1} \rangle$ the set of p_n 's is second category and hence the closure of the set of p_n 's contains a rational number $q_n(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-1})$. We may assume the $q_n(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-1})$'s coincide and write $q_n(z) = q_n(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-1})$. Likewise, for each fixed $\langle p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-2} \rangle$ the set of p_{n-1} 's is second category and hence its closure contains a rational number $q_{n-1}(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-2})$. Again we may assume the $q_{n-1}(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-2})$'s coincide and write $q_{n-1}(z) = q_{n-1}(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_{n-2})$. Similarly we find $q_{n-2}(z), q_{n-3}(z), \dots, q_{m+1}(z)$.

The type II point c given by

$$c(i, j) = \begin{cases} (x^*(i, j), n+1) & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } j < n, \\ (x^*(i, j), n) & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } j = n, \\ (z(i, j), n) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } j = t(i, n-m), \\ \theta & \text{if } i = m \text{ and } j \geq \tau(i), \\ (q_i(z), n) & \text{if } m < i \leq n \text{ and } j = \tau(i), \\ \theta & \text{if } m < i \leq n \text{ and } j \neq \tau(i), \\ \theta & \text{if } i \geq n+1 \text{ and } j \neq 1, \\ (0, 0) & \text{if } i \geq n+1 \text{ and } j = 1, \\ (z(i, j), [f(z)](i, j)) & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

is in $\text{cl}(R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m))) = \text{cl}(R^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}, \dots, p_n)))$ for each p_m . Indeed, if $k < \omega$ find a $p_{m+1}(k)$ in the (remaining) second category set so that $|p_{m+1}(k) - q_{m+1}(z)| < 1/(k+1)$. Then for $\langle p_m, p_{m+1}(k) \rangle$ find $p_{m+2}(k)$ in the appropriate second category set so that $|p_{m+2}(k) - q_{m+2}(z)| < 1/(k+1)$. Likewise find $p_{m+1}(k), p_{m+2}(k), \dots, p_n(k)$ so that $|p_i(k) - q_i(z)| < 1/(k+1)$ for $m < i \leq n$. Then find $l(k) > k$ so that $y(l(k), f_k(x(p_m, p_{m+1}(k), \dots, p_n(k))))(x(p_m, p_{m+1}(k), \dots, p_n(k)))$ is in

$$R^*(x(p_m, p_{m+1}(k), \dots, p_n(k))) = R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m)).$$

The sequence

$$\{y(l(k), f_k(x(p_m, p_{m+1}(k), \dots, p_n(k))))(x(p_m, p_{m+1}(k), \dots, p_n(k))) : k < \omega\}$$

converges to c . Thus since $R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m))$ is closed, $c \in R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m))$ for each p_m . As \mathcal{R} is point-countable, there is a second category set of p_m 's so that the $R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m))$'s coincide, say $R^*(z) = R^*(z_{m+1}(p_m))$. Thus $z \in H(m, \tau, R^*(z))$, and the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma. If $1 \leq n < \omega$ and $\tau = \langle \tau(1), \tau(2), \dots, \tau(n) \rangle$ is an n -length sequence of integers with $\tau(i) > n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ then $H(1, \tau) = \emptyset$.

Proof. If $H(1, \tau) \neq \emptyset$, say $z_1 \in H(1, \tau, R^*)$ for an $R^* \in \mathcal{R}$, then there is a second category set of $p_1 \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $\widehat{z_1 p_1}$ can be extended to a $z_2(p_1) \in H(2, \tau, R^*)$. For

each such p_1 there is a second category set of $p_2 \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $\overline{z_2(p_1)p_2}$ can be extended to a $z_3(p_1, p_2) \in H(3, \tau, R^*)$. Continuing we eventually get that for each such $\langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1} \rangle$ there is a second category set of $p_n \in \mathbb{P}$ so that $\overline{z_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1})p_n}$ can be extended to an $x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in H(\tau, R^*)$. For each such $\langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \rangle$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^* &= R^*(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)) \\ &= R^*(\langle t(n)^*(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)), t(n-1)^*(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)), \dots, \\ &\quad t(1)^*(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)) \rangle)(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)) \\ &= R^*(\tau)(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)). \end{aligned}$$

For each appropriate $\langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \rangle$ we can find a $3n$ -length sequence $\sigma(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Sigma$ which extends τ so that $R^* = R^*(\sigma(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n))(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n))$.

By rechoosing our second category sets we may assume that the $\sigma(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$'s coincide for the various $\langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \rangle$'s.

As $R^* = R(b)$ for some $b \in B(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n))$ for which $F(b, 1)(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n))$ is infinite, we can find for each $k < \omega$ a function $f_k(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n))$ which is increasing on the ordered partition $\Delta(\sigma(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n))$ so that $f_k(x(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n))[S] \geq k$ for all $S \in \Delta(\sigma(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n))$.

For each fixed $\langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1} \rangle$, the set of p_n 's is second category and hence the closure of the p_n 's contains a rational number $q_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1})$. We may assume the $q_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1})$'s coincide and write q_n . Further, for each fixed $\langle p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-2} \rangle$, the set of p_{n-1} 's is second category and hence the closure of the p_{n-1} 's contains a rational $q_{n-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-2})$; again we may assume the $q_{n-1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-2})$'s coincide and write q_{n-1} . Likewise find q_n, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_1 .

Consider the type II point c given by

$$c(i, j) = \begin{cases} (x^*(i, j), n+1) & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } j < n; \\ (x^*(i, j), n) & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ and } j = n; \\ (q_i, n) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } j = \tau(i); \\ (0, 0) & \text{if } i \geq n+1 \text{ and } j = 1; \\ \theta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To see that $c \in R^*$, choose for each $k < \omega$ a $p_1(k)$ in the appropriate second category set so that $|p_1(k) - q_1| < 1/(k+1)$. For this $p_1(k)$ find an appropriate $p_2(k)$ so that $|p_2(k) - q_2| < 1/(k+1)$. Likewise find $p_1(k), p_2(k), \dots, p_n(k)$ so that $|p_i(k) - q_i| < 1/(k+1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then find $l(k) > k$ so that $y(l(k), f_k(x(p_1(k), p_2(k), \dots, p_n(k))))(x(p_1(k), p_2(k), \dots, p_n(k))) \in R^*$. Since R^* is closed and the sequence

$$\{y(l(k), f_k(x(p_1(k), p_2(k), \dots, p_n(k))))(x(p_1(k), p_2(k), \dots, p_n(k))) : k < \omega\}$$

converges to c , we have $c \in R^* \setminus V(x^*, 0) = R^* \setminus U$. This contradicts the original selection of the $R(b)$'s. The lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 3. *X has no point-countable k -network of closed sets.*

Proof. We adopt the previous notation. Recall that x^* is a fixed type I point in X and $U = V(x^*, 0)$. \mathcal{R} is the proposed point-countable closed k -network. For every $x \in U$, there is a finite $\mathcal{R}^* \subset \mathcal{R}$ and an integer n so that $V(x, n) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}^* \subset U$.

Let T_n be the set of all n -length finite sequence τ of integers with $\tau(i) > n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Consider the type I point x' defined as follows. If either $i = 0$ or $j = 0$, then $x'(i, j) = x^*(i, j)$. If we have defined $x'(i, j)$ for $(i, j) < (I, J)$ with $J \neq 0$, then choose $x'(I, J) \in \mathbb{P}$ so that the function z from $\{(i, j) \in \omega \times \omega : (i, j) \leq (I, J)\}$ to \mathbb{P} defined by

$$z(i, j) = x'(i, j) \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \leq (I, J),$$

cannot be extended to a member of $H(I+1, \tau)$ for any $\tau \in T_n$ with $n > I$ and $\tau(I) = J$; nor can it be extended to a member of $H(\tau)$ for any $\tau \in T_I$ and $\tau(I) = J$. This is possible since we need only to choose $x'(I, J) \in \mathbb{P}$ avoiding a first category set.

Now $x' \in V(x^*, 0)$. If $V(x', n) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{R}^* \subset V(x^*, 0)$ for a finite $\mathcal{R}^* \subset \mathcal{R}$, then if x is the restriction of x' to $(n+1) \times \omega$ we have $x \in H(\langle t(1)^*(x), t(2)^*(x), \dots, t(n)^*(x) \rangle)$, which conflicts with our selection of $x'(n, t(n)^*(x))$. \square

References

- [1] A. Arhangel'skii, On mappings of metric spaces, Soviet Math. 3 (1962) 953–956.
- [2] D. Burke and E. Michael, On certain point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math. 64 (1976) 79–92.
- [3] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math. 113 (1984) 303–332.
- [4] R. Heath, Screenability, pointwise paracompactness, and metrization of Moore spaces, Canad. J. Math. 16 (1964) 763–770.
- [5] T. Hoshina, On the quotient s -images of metric spaces, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A. 10 (1970) 265–268.
- [6] E. Michael, \aleph_0 -spaces, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966) 983–1002.